



# **SURFACE COATING OPERATIONS**

## **COMPLIANCE INSPECTION CHECKLIST**



**INSPECTION TYPE:** ANNUAL (INS1, INS2) ☐ COMPLAINT/DISCOVERY (CI) ☐  
RE-INSPECTION (FUI) ☐ ARMS COMPLAINT NO:

**AIRS ID#:** 0112318 **DATE:** 5/23/2007 **ARRIVE:** 2:00pm **DEPART:** 2:45pm

**FACILITY NAME:** MARINE MAX MOTOR YACHTS

**FACILITY LOCATION:** 490 TAYLOR LANE

DANIA 33004

**RESPONSIBLE OFFICIAL:** SUSAN KINGSTON

**PHONE:** (954)926-0308

**CONTACT NAME:** Don Kingston

**PHONE:**

**REMITTANCE YEAR:**

**ENTITLEMENT PERIOD:** 7/23/2006  
(effective date)

/ 7/23/2011  
(end date)

### **PART I: INSPECTION COMPLIANCE STATUS** (check ☒ only one box)

☒ IN COMPLIANCE ☐ MINOR Non-COMPLIANCE ☐ SIGNIFICANT Non-COMPLIANCE

### **PART II: RECORDKEEPING REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Does the facility operate any emissions units other than the surface coating operations and emissions units which are exempt from permitting pursuant to the criteria of paragraph 62-210.300(3)(a) or (b), F.A.C., or have been exempted from permitting under Rule 62-4.040, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.a., F.A.C.) ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the owner/operator of the facility maintain records to document the VOC content of the coatings and the quantity of the coatings used?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
3. Does the owner/operator retain, and make available for Department inspection, these records for a period of at least five years?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
4. Is the total quantity of VOC's in such coatings 44 lbs/day or less, averaged monthly?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
5. Does the amount of coatings used, include solvents and thinners used in the process including those used for cleanups?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No

### **PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTANANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

1. Is/Are the surface coating operation(s) subject to a VOC Reasonably Available Control Technology (RACT) emission limiting standard of Chapter 62-296.500, F.A.C.? (Rule 62-210.300(3)(c)4.b., F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
2. Does the facility cause, suffer, allow or permit the discharge of air pollutants which cause or contribute to an objectionable odor? (Rule 62.296.320(2), F.A.C.)----- ☐ Yes ☒ No

**PART III: CONTROL/OPERATING/MAINTENANCE REQUIREMENTS – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C. – (continued)**

(check ☒ appropriate box(es))

3. Does the owner/operator encourage pollution prevention through such measures as training employees involved in surface coating operations on methods of reducing VOC emissions by:
- a) maintaining spray coating equipment to ensure effective application with a minimum of overspray? ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - b) monitoring the coating thickness to avoid excessive coating?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - c) considering the use of low-VOC coatings (e.g., waterborne, ultra-violet cured, or powder coatings)? ☐ Yes ☐ No
  - d) implementing inventory control practices to prevent spillage?----- ☒ Yes ☐ No
  - e) implementing management practices to reduce VOC emissions during cleanup by:
    - 1. spraying light colored coatings before dark colored coatings to reduce the number of cleaning cycles?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
    - 2) recycling cleaning solvents?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No
    - 3) using water based cleaners?----- ☐ Yes ☐ No

**PART IV: SPECIAL CONDITIONS AND PROCEDURES – Rule 62-210.300, F.A.C.**

**A. New or Modified Process Equipment**

1. Since the last inspection has there been
- a) installation of any new process equipment?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - b) alterations to existing process equipment without replacement?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - c) replacement of existing equipment substantially different than that noted on the most recent notification form?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No
  - d) If you answered **YES** to any of the above, did the owner submit a new and complete notification form and appropriate fee (Rule 62-4.050, F.A.C.) to the appropriate DEP or local program office?----- ☐ Yes ☒ No

Elizabeth F. Susky

05/23/2007

Inspector's Name (Please Print)

Date of Inspection

05/23/2008

Inspector's Signature

Approximate Date of Next Inspection

**COMMENTS:** In a compliance inspection conducted on 5/23/2007, AQD staff observed activities at Marine Max (was Associated Marine). The facility is a boat marina that consists of one large boat hangar, one small spray booth (in hangar), a paved yard with boat pull (water goes through recycling system), hazardous storage area, and offices. Bottom painting is conducted in the boat hangar and sometimes in the yard (with proper tarping).

Mr. Don Kingston accompanied staff on the inspection. The housekeeping was excellent and the facility does its best to adhere to the Marina BMP's. Mr. Kingston stated that he had recently had his haz-mat inspection and the inspector requested to have one of his paint booth filters submitted to a lab for a TCLP (Toxicity Characteristic Leachate Procedure) test. This is conducted to ascertain whether any lead paints are being used.

The spray booth was in excellent condition and filters are changed monthly. The hazardous waste and waste oil storage is also well-maintained.